

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

In Re:)
)
) TSCA (11)-5
Willie P. Burrell and)
The Willie P. Burrell Trust,)
Respondents below,)
)
Docket No. TSCA-05-2006-0012)
)
)
_____)

APPELLANTS' TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Appellants Willie P. Burrell and The Willie P. Burrell Trust, by and through their Representative, tender their Table of Contents and Authorities for their Appellate Brief as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.01
II.	Issues Presented For Review.02
III.	Background.	02
IV.	Procedural History	03
V.	Factual Background	04
VI.	Default Judgments.	08
	A. Appellants Did Not Willfully Default or Act in Bad Faith10
	B. The Government suffered no harm or prejudice11
	C. Appellants Can Show "Good Cause".12
	1. An Attorney's Gross Negligence or Disappearance Justifies Setting Aside a Default Judgment12
	a. Board Case Law12
	i. Proof of Service was Defective13
	ii. Mistaken Belief of Law15
	iii. Attorney Negligence Pre and Post B & L Plating..15
	b. Federal Case Law17

c. Illinois Law would Provide Relief	.22
d. Sound Public Policy Requires the Board to Adopt the Majority View.	.22
VII. The Action would Have Had A Different Outcome. 25
A. Appellants were not the lessors of the Oak and Erzinger Properties, as a matter of law25
1. The Willie P. Burrell Trust Was Not A Lessor, as a matter of law 26
2. Willie P. Burrell, Individually, Was Not a Lessor, as a matter of law. 27
i. 1975 Erzinger 29
ii. 1395 E. Chestnut 30
B. Ability to Pay/Continue in Business. 32
1. Willie Burrell's Individual Ability to Pay 32
2. Willie Burrell Trust's Ability to Pay 32
C. Selective Enforcement. 35
D. Laches 36
E. Mitigating Factors.. 36
1. Size of Business 37
2. No Known Risk of Exposure 37
3. Attitude 38
4. Cooperation 39
5. Compliance 39
6. Early Settlement 40
7. Gross Rents 40
VIII. Conclusion and Prayer for Relief 41
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 42

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>CASES</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
<u>BMFI v. Traditional Baking, Inc., No. 08-1967</u> [(7 th Cir. 2009) 20
<u>Cardem, Inc. Marketron Int'l, LTD, 749 N.E. 2d</u> (Ill.App.2001). 28, 30
<u>Carter v. Albert Einstein Medical Center, 804 F.2nd</u> (3 rd Cir. 1986). 19, 23, 24
<u>Chicago Tile Inst. Welfare Plan v. Tile Surfaces No. 04-94, 2004</u> U.S.Dist. LEXIS 21612, at *4 n.1 (N.D. Ill. October 25, 2004). 28

<u>Chicago Title & Trust Co. v. Brooklyn Bagel Boys, Inc.</u> , 584 N. E. 2d 142, 146 (Ill.App.1992) 28, 29
<u>Chie Ping Wu and Ping Auto Center</u> , Docket No. RCRA-3-99-003 (ALJ, November 20, 2000) 08
<u>Community Dental Services v. Tani, D.D.S.</u> , 282 F.3d 1164 (9 th Cir. 2002) 19, 24
<u>Donald L. Lee and Pied Piper Pest Control, Inc.</u> , FIFRA 09-0796-92-13, November 9, 1992, 1992 WL 340775 (E.P.A.) 9
<u>Detroit Plastic</u> , 3 E.A.D. 315 (EAB 1999) 11
<u>Environmental Control Systems, Inc.</u> , Docket No. IFFRA- III - 432 - C (July 13, 1993) 09
<u>Falk v. Allen</u> , 739 F.2d 461, 463 (9th Cir.1984) 18
<u>Feeders Grain and Supply, Inc.</u> , Docket No. FIFRA-07-2001-0093 (ALJ, August 27, 2002) 11
<u>Greenspun v. Bogan</u> , 492 F.2d 375 (1st Cir.1974) 18
<u>Heim v. Commissioner</u> , 872 F.2d 245, 248 (8th Cir. 1989) 20
<u>In re: B & L Plating</u> , 11 E.A.D. 182 (2002)	. . . 10, 13, 15, 21
<u>In re: Chempace Corporation</u> , FIFRA Appeal Nos. 99-2 & 99-3 (May 18, 2000) 8, 21
<u>In re: Euclid of Va., Inc.</u> , 13 E.A.D. 616, 657-58 (EAB 2008) 17
<u>In re: Four Quarters Wholesale, Inc.</u> , Docket No. FIFRA-9-2007-0008 (CALJ, March 18, 2008) 16, 17, 20, 21
<u>In re: Gard Products, Inc.</u> , Docket No. IFFRA-98-005 (ALJ, June 2, 1999) 11, 12, 15, 21
<u>In re: Jiffy Builders, Inc.</u> , CAA Appeal No. 98-2 (May 25, 1999) 12
<u>In re Lazarus, Inc.</u> , 7 E.A.D. 318, 330 n.25 (EAB 1997)	. . . 17

<u>In re: New Waterbury, Ltd.</u> , 5 E.A.D. 529, 538-39 (EAB 1994)	32
<u>In re: Pyramid Chemical Company</u> , RCRA (3008) Appeal No. 03-03 (September 16, 2004)	12
<u>In re: Rybond</u> , 6 E.A.D. 614, 616 (EAB 1996). . .	10, 11, 18, 25
<u>In re: The Bullen Co's.</u> , 9 E.A.D. 620 (EAB 2001).	25
<u>In re: Thermal Reduction Co., Inc.</u> , 4 E.A.D. 128, 131 (EAB 1992).	8
<u>In re: TIFA, Ltd., I.F.& R.</u> Docket No. II 547-C (Oct. 22, 1998).	36
<u>In re Virginia Info. Sys. Corp.</u> , 932 F.2d 338, 342 (4th Cir.1991)	18
<u>Jay Harcrow</u> , Docket No. UST6-91-031-A0-1 (ALJ, September 20, 1995).	09
<u>Keller Industries, Inc.</u> , Docket No. RCRA-III-249 (ALJ, July 7, 1999).	15
<u>L.P. Steuart, Inc. v. Matthews</u> , 329 F.2d 234, 235 [(D.C.Cir.1964).	16
<u>Lal v. California</u> , 610 F.3d 518 (9 th Cir. 2010)	19, 20
<u>Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.</u> , 370 U.S. 626 (1962).	12, 15, 18, 21, 23
<u>Lyon County Landfill</u> , EPA Docket No. 5-CAA-96-011 (ALJ, September 11, 1997).	09, 10, 11
<u>Malter International</u> , EPA Docket No. EPCRA-3-2000-0010, EPCRA 3-2000-0011 (ALJ, August 14, 2001).	09, 11
<u>Martella v. Marine Cooks and Stewards Union</u> , 448 F.2d 729, 730 (9th Cir.1971).	17
<u>Marc Mathys d/b/a/ Green Tree Spray Technologies, L.L.C.</u> , Docket No. RCRA-03-2005-0191 (ALJ, April 17, 2006).. . . .	13

<u>Midwest Bank & Trust Co., Inc., RCRA (3008) Appeal</u> No. 90-4, 3 E.A.D. 696 (CJO October 23, 1991)	18
<u>Pelican Production Corp. v. Marino</u> , 893 F.2d 1143, 1146 (10th Cir.1990	20
<u>Pioneer Investment Servs. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship</u> , 507 U.S. 380, 393, 113 S.Ct. 1489, 123 L.Ed.2d 74 (1993). . . .	17
<u>Silas v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.</u> , 586 F.2d 382, 385-86 (5th Cir.1978).	18
<u>U.S. v. 7108 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois</u> , 15 F.3d. 632 (7 th . Cir. 1994).	20
<u>U.S. v. Cerami</u> , 563 F.2d 563 (2 nd Cir. 1977).	15
<u>Vindigni v. Meyer</u> , 441 F.2 nd 376, 377 (2 nd Cir. 1971). . . .	21

RULES

PAGE

40 C.F.R. § 22.5(C) (iii).	13
------------------------------------	----

Proof of service of the complaint shall be made by affidavit of the person making personal service, or by properly executed receipt. Such proof of service shall be filed immediately upon completion of service.

40 C.F.R. 22.15(a)	07
------------------------------	----

(a) *General*. Where respondent: Contests any material fact upon which the complaint is based; contends that the proposed penalty, compliance or corrective action order, or Permit Action, as the case may be, is inappropriate; or contends that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, it shall file an original and one copy of a written answer to the complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk and shall serve copies of the answer on all other parties. Any such answer to the complaint must be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk within 30 days after service of the complaint.

40 C.F.R. § 22.17(a).	07
-------------------------------	----

(a) *Default*. A party may be found to be in default: after motion, upon failure to file a timely answer to the complaint; upon failure to comply with the information exchange requirements of § 22.19(a)

or an order of the Presiding Officer; or upon failure to appear at a conference or hearing. Default by respondent constitutes, for purposes of the pending proceeding only, an admission of all facts alleged in the complaint and a waiver of respondent's right to contest such factual allegations. Default by complainant constitutes a waiver of complainant's right to proceed on the merits of the action, and shall result in the dismissal of the complaint with prejudice.

40 C.F.R. § 22.17(c) 8
Default order. When the Presiding Officer finds that default has occurred, he shall issue a default order against the defaulting party as to any or all parts of the proceeding unless the record shows good cause why a default order should not be issued. If the order resolves all outstanding issues and claims in the proceeding, it shall constitute the initial decision under these Consolidated Rules of Practice. The relief proposed in the complaint or the motion for default shall be ordered unless the requested relief is clearly inconsistent with the record of the proceeding or the Act. For good cause shown, the Presiding Officer may set aside a default order.

40 C.F.R. § 22.24 32
Burden of presentation; burden of persuasion; preponderance of the evidence standard.

- (a) The complainant has the burdens of presentation and persuasion that the violation occurred as set forth in the complaint and that the relief sought is appropriate. Following complainant's establishment of a prima facie case, respondent shall have the burden of presenting any defense to the allegations set forth in the complaint and any response or evidence with respect to the appropriate relief. The respondent has the burdens of presentation and persuasion for any affirmative defenses.
- (b) Each matter of controversy shall be decided by the Presiding Officer upon a preponderance of the evidence.

40 C.F.R. § 22.30 01
Appeal from or review of initial decision.
(a) Notice of appeal. (1) Within 30 days after the initial decision is served, any party may appeal any adverse order or ruling of the Presiding Officer by filing an original and one copy of a notice of appeal and an accompanying appellate brief with the Environmental Appeals Board

40 C.F.R. § 745.10306
* * *

Inspection means:

- (1) A surface-by-surface investigation to determine the presence of lead-based paint as provided in section 302(c) of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning and Prevention Act [42 U.S.C. 4822], and
- (2) The provision of a report explaining the results of the investigation.

Lead-based paint means paint or other surface coatings that contain lead equal to or in excess of 1.0 milligram per square centimeter or 0.5 percent by weight.

* * *

40 C.F.R. § 745.107 Disclosure requirements for sellers and lessors.03

(a) The following activities shall be completed before the purchaser or lessee is obligated under any contract to purchase or lease target housing that is not otherwise an exempt transaction pursuant to § 745.101. Nothing in this section implies a positive obligation on the seller or lessor to conduct any evaluation or reduction activities.

(1) The seller or lessor shall provide the purchaser or lessee with an EPA-approved lead hazard information pamphlet. Such pamphlets include the EPA document entitled Protect Your Family From Lead in Your Home (EPA #747-K-94-001) or an equivalent pamphlet that has been approved for use in that State by EPA.

(2) The seller or lessor shall disclose to the purchaser or lessee the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the target housing being sold or leased. The seller or lessor shall also disclose any additional information available concerning the known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards, such as the basis for the determination that lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards exist, the location of the lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards, and the condition of the painted surfaces.

(3) The seller or lessor shall disclose to each agent the presence of any known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the target housing being sold or leased and the existence of any available records or reports pertaining to lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards. The seller or lessor shall also disclose any additional information available concerning the

known lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards, such as the basis for the determination that lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards exist, the location of the lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards, and the condition of the painted surfaces.

(4) The seller or lessor shall provide the purchaser or lessee with any records or reports available to the seller or lessor pertaining to lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the target housing being sold or leased. This requirement includes records or reports regarding common areas. This requirement also includes records or reports regarding other residential dwellings in multifamily target housing, provided that such information is part of an evaluation or reduction of lead-based paint and/or lead-based paint hazards in the target housing as a whole.

(b) If any of the disclosure activities identified in paragraph (a) of this section occurs after the purchaser or lessee has provided an offer to purchase or lease the housing, the seller or lessor shall complete the required disclosure activities prior to accepting the purchaser's or lessee's offer and allow the purchaser or lessee an opportunity to review the information and possibly amend the offer.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(6) 17
Relief from Judgment or Order

* * *

(b) Grounds for Relief from a Final Judgment, Order, or Proceeding. On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence that, with reasonable diligence, could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether previously called intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation, or misconduct by an opposing party; (4) the judgment is void; (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged; it is based on an earlier judgment that has been reversed or vacated; or applying it prospectively is no longer equitable; or (6) any other reason that justifies relief.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Exhibits and Affidavits
2. CBI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Appellants, Willie P. Burrell and The Willie Burrell Trust hereby certify that this **TABLE OF CONTENTS AND AUTHORITIES**, in the above-captioned matter was served upon the following, by U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, this 2nd day of February 2012, to:

The Environmental Appeals Board
[(Clerk of the Board) (Mail Code 1103B)]
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20460
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Region 5
Marcy Toney, Regional Judicial Officer
77 West Jackson Boulevard

Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Region 5
Office of the Regional Hearing Clerk, Attention: LaDawn Whitehead
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Mailcode: E-19J
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Region 5
Maria Gonzalez, Associate Regional Counsel
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

Derek Burrell
300 N. Indiana Avenue
Kankakee, Il 60901
815-933-6087 (Office)
815-933-5114 (Cell)